

REMARK ON THE CONVERGENCE OF A SEQUENCE*

Milosav Marjanović and Slaviša B. Prešić

In the present note we give a considerably simplified proof of a theorem proved in [1]. Also an unnecessary assumption from [1] is omitted.

Let E be a complete metric space, $f_n: E^k \rightarrow E$ a sequence of mappings of the product space E^k into E such that

$$(1) \quad d(f_n(u_1, u_2, \dots, u_k), f_n(u_2, u_3, \dots, u_{k+1})) \leq q_1 d(u_1, u_2) \\ + q_2 d(u_2, u_3) + \dots + q_k d(u_k, u_{k+1}),$$

for every $u_1, u_2, \dots, u_{k+1} \in E$, where q_1, q_2, \dots, q_k are non-negative fixed numbers such that $q_1 + q_2 + \dots + q_k < q < 1$.

Let

$$(2) \quad d(f_{n+1}(u_1, u_2, \dots, u_k), f_n(u_1, u_2, \dots, u_k)) \leq a_n \quad (n=1, 2, \dots),$$

where a_n , ($n=1, 2, \dots$) are positive terms of a convergent series. (In [1] it has been supposed that $\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{a_{n+1}}{a_n} = 1$). It is easily seen that the sequence f_n , $n=1, 2, \dots$ converges uniformly to a function $f: E^k \rightarrow E$.

We prove namely the following:

Theorem. Let

$$x_{n+k} = f_n(x_n, x_{n+1}, \dots, x_{n+k-1}), \quad (n=1, 2, \dots),$$

where the elements x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k are arbitrarily chosen. Then, if the conditions (1) and (2) are satisfied:

1. The sequence x_n converges in E .
2. The equation

$$x = f(x, x, \dots, x)$$

has a unique solution $x = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n$.

Proof. 1. Putting $\Delta_n = d(x_n, x_{n+1})$, then by conditions (1) and (2) we get the following system of inequalities

$$(3) \quad \Delta_{n+k+i} < a_{n+i} + q_1 \Delta_{n+i} + q_2 \Delta_{n+1+i} + \dots + q_k \Delta_{n+k-1+i} \quad (i=0, 1, 2, \dots, s).$$

* Presented by D. S. Mitrinović.

Adding together the inequalities (3) and putting $\sigma_{n+k+s}^{n+k} = \sum_{v=0}^s \Delta_{n+k+v}$, we easily find that

$$\sigma_{n+k+s}^{n+k} \leq \sum_{v=n}^{n+s} a_v + q \sigma_{n+k+s}^{n+k} + q (\Delta_n + \Delta_{n+1} + \dots + \Delta_{n+k-1}).$$

Therefore,

$$(4) \quad \sigma_{n+k+s}^{n+k} \leq \frac{1}{1-q} \sum_{v=n}^{n+s} a_v + \frac{q}{1-q} (\Delta_n + \Delta_{n+1} + \dots + \Delta_{n+k-1}).$$

From

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \Delta_{n+k} \leq q_1 \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \Delta_n + \dots + q_k \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \Delta_{n+k-1},$$

i. e.,

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \Delta_n \leq q \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \Delta_n,$$

we find that $\Delta_n \rightarrow 0$, as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Letting $n \rightarrow \infty$ in (4) we obtain

$$d(x_{n+k}, x_{n+k+s}) \leq \sigma_{n+k+s}^{n+k} \rightarrow 0.$$

Being E complete, the sequence x_n converges, i. e. $\lim x_n = x_0$.

2. Applying triangle inequality, we get

$$\begin{aligned} & d(f(u_1, u_2, \dots, u_k), f(u_2, u_3, \dots, u_{k+1})) \leq d(f(u_1, u_2, \dots, u_k), \\ & f_n(u_1, u_2, \dots, u_k)) + d(f_n(u_1, u_2, \dots, u_k), f_n(u_2, u_3, \dots, u_{k+1})) \\ & + d(f_n(u_2, u_3, \dots, u_{k+1}), f(u_2, u_3, \dots, u_{k+1})) \\ & \leq 2 \sum_{v=n}^{\infty} a_v + q_1 d(u_1, u_2) + \dots + q_k d(u_k, u_{k+1}), \end{aligned}$$

wherefrom, as $n \rightarrow \infty$ we get

$$d(f(u_1, \dots, u_k), f(u_2, \dots, u_{k+1})) \leq q_1 d(u_1, u_2) + \dots + q_k d(u_k, u_{k+1}).$$

Now, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & d(f_n(x_n, x_{n+1}, \dots, x_{n+k-1}), f(x_0, x_0, \dots, x_0)) = d(x_{n+k}, f(x_0, x_0, \dots, x_0)) \\ & \leq d(f(x_n, x_{n+1}, \dots, x_{n+k-1}), f(x_0, x_0, \dots, x_0)) + \sum_{v=n}^{\infty} a_v \rightarrow 0 \end{aligned}$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$, what implies that $x_0 = f(x_0, x_0, \dots, x_0)$.

The uniqueness of the solution follows, for example, from the Banach contraction theorem applied to

$$\bar{f}(u) = f(u, u, \dots, u), \quad (u \in E)$$

since

$$d(\bar{f}(u), \bar{f}(v)) \leq q d(u, v) \quad (u, v \in E).$$

REFERENCE

[1] S. B. Prešić: *Sur la convergence des suites*, Comptes rendus de l'Académie des sciences de Paris, t. 260, 1965.